

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Document Title | Monitoring the Effectiveness of Teaching Staff |
| Policy Area | Area 4: Staff Recruitment, Management and Development |
| Document Code (version #) | QAP4-3 (V2.0) |
| Applies to | <input type="checkbox"/> All |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific (<i>Teaching Staff</i>) |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff only <input type="checkbox"/> Learners only <input type="checkbox"/> Staff and Learners |

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Document Owner | Director of Quality and Academic Affairs |
| Approved by | Academic Council |

| | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Approval date | 14/12/18 |
| Effective date | 17/12/18 |

| | |
|--|--|
| Related legislation, policies, procedures, guidelines and local protocols | <p>This policy has been designed with due regard to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Core Statutory Quality Assurance Guidelines (2016), QQI - Sector Specific Independent/Private Statutory Quality Assurance Guidelines (2016), QQI - Qualifications and Quality Assurance (Education and Training) Act 2012 - European Association for Quality Assurance in Higher Education (ENQA), et. al (2015), Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG) |
|--|--|

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|---|
| 1. Purpose | 3 |
| 2. Scope/Application | 3 |
| 3. Responsibility | 3 |
| 4. Policy | 3 |
| 5. Procedure for Monitoring Effectiveness of Teaching Staff | 3 |
| 6. Procedure for Supporting Poorly Performing Teaching Staff | 4 |
| 7. Procedure for Removal of Poorly Performing Teaching Staff | 5 |
| 8. Policy Monitoring | 6 |
| 9. Document Control | 6 |

1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this policy is to ensure that all staff involved in the delivery of programmes (Programme Directors and Tutors) receive constructive feedback on their teaching performance in a timely manner in order to allow them to identify key strengths and areas for improvement and agree action plans, where necessary. Supports for poorly performing Tutors and the procedure for removal of poorly performing Tutors is also included.

2. SCOPE/APPLICATION

This document relates to all staff involved in the delivery of programmes (Programme Directors and Tutors).

3. RESPONSIBILITY

Training Partners, Programme Directors, Course Administration Managers and the Director of Quality and Academic Affairs are responsible for the ongoing monitoring of Tutors.

4. POLICY

SQT recognises that the learner experience is hugely impacted by the personnel delivering its programmes and therefore prioritises both the recruitment of highly experienced staff and also the ongoing monitoring of the effectiveness of teaching staff. The effectiveness of Tutors is monitored using a number of mechanisms as follows:

- Learner course assessment forms - CAFs (interim and end of course delivery)
- Learner representative feedback at Programme Board meetings
- Tutor course review report (self-evaluation)
- External Examiner / External Authenticator reports (where applicable)
- Company feedback
- Annual performance appraisal
- Peer review feedback

These mechanisms facilitate the on-going monitoring of Tutors by both SQT and the Training Partner.

5. PROCEDURE FOR MONITORING EFFECTIVENESS OF TEACHING STAFF

1. Tutors are asked to review learner course assessment forms at the end of each programme. This affords the Tutor an opportunity to reflect on and review the effectiveness of his/her

course delivery and seek improvement as well as providing on-going review of the programme content. Forms must be returned to SQT immediately after a programme is delivered. Where programmes are delivered in blocks, an interim feedback form is completed at the end of each block.

2. Immediately after a course has been delivered (or at an interim stage for courses delivered on a split basis), the Course Administration Manager analyses learner and Tutor Course Assessment Forms and prepares an over-all rating based on key metrics. In the case of in-house training courses, feedback from the course organiser is also sought by telephone first and failing that by email. The Course Administration Manager brings to the Director of Quality Affairs immediate attention any adverse course performance arising from learner course assessment forms. If negative feedback is received from a Course Organiser, this will also prompt a review.
3. If a course does not achieve the desired minimum rating of 80%, a discussion will take place between the Training Partner, Course Administration Manager, Tutor and Director of Academic Affairs. As a result, a PIN (Performance Improvement Notice) is raised to ensure corrective action will be taken. In certain circumstances, at the discretion of the Course Administration Manager/Tutor and Director of Quality and Academic Affairs, a PIN and or programme improvement action will be deemed to be unnecessary, notwithstanding the fact that the overall score was less than 80%. A record is nonetheless logged in such cases.
4. The Training Partner and the Director of Quality and Academic Affairs is provided with a summary of CAF ratings for each of their individual Tutors on a quarterly basis. Discussions are held with the Director of Quality and Academic Affairs as necessary with respect to potential areas for improvement.

6. PROCEDURE FOR SUPPORTING POORLY PERFORMING TEACHING STAFF

1. When Tutors are not performing to the standard required for the role, it is the responsibility of the relevant Training Partner and Director of Quality and Academic Affairs to discuss such shortcomings and to identify a corrective action plan.
2. Following discussions with the Director of Quality and Academic Affairs, the Training Partner discusses the shortcomings with the Tutor and an action plan is put in place to address such issues and subsequent feedback is noted to evaluate if improvements have been made. The outcome and plan of action is discussed by the Training Partner and the Director of Quality and Academic Affairs. Records of informal and formal interventions and supports are retained by the Training Partner and made available to the Director of Quality and Academic Affairs, as necessary.
3. Should an issue arise with a Training Partner, it is addressed at senior management level.

7. PROCEDURE FOR REMOVAL OF POORLY PERFORMING TEACHING STAFF

Following discussions at senior management level, any Tutor who fails to meet the required standards for the position following the application of formal and informal interventions, will be subject to dismissal by the Training Partner or SQT, as appropriate.

8. POLICY MONITORING

| Responsibility | Frequency | Methods |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Director of Quality and Academic Affairs – Document Update | Per QA audit schedule | Review of documentation as set out in QAP2-1: Ongoing Review and Update of QA Documents. |
| Senior Management Team & Training Partner | Ongoing | <p>The effectiveness of Tutors is monitored using a number of mechanisms as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Learner course assessment forms - CAFs (interim and end of course delivery) - Learner representative feedback at Programme Board meetings - Tutor course review report (self-evaluation) - External Examiner / External Authenticator reports (where applicable) - Company feedback - Annual performance appraisal - Peer review feedback |

9. DOCUMENT CONTROL

| Version No | Approval Date | Description of Revision | Originator | Approved By |
|------------|---------------|--|------------------------|------------------|
| 2.0 | 14/12/18 | Policy updated in new document format and inclusion of additional monitoring mechanisms. | Senior Management Team | Academic Council |